

Jeffrey Adams

From: Jennifer Barrett
Sent: Monday, June 06, 2022 10:02 AM
To: City Council Group; Bruce St. Denis; Jeffrey Adams
Subject: FW: Cannon Beach TSP

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good morning,

Please see the email below from Wade Coykendall. Jeff will include this in the PC's June packet.

Thanks
Jen



Jennifer Barrett

City Recorder / Assistant to the City Manager

City of Cannon Beach

p: 503.436.8052 | tty: 503.436.8097 | f: 503.436.2050

a: 163 E. Gower St. | PO Box 368 | Cannon Beach, OR 97110

w: www.ci.cannon-beach.or.us | e: barrett@ci.cannon-beach.or.us

DISCLOSURE NOTICE: Messages to and from this email address may be subject to Oregon Public Records Law.

From: wade coykendall <whcoykendall@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 5, 2022 12:58 PM
To: Jennifer Barrett <barrett@ci.cannon-beach.or.us>
Cc: Linda Rozman <lmrozman@yahoo.com>; Lolly Champion <lolly.champ@gmail.com>
Subject: Cannon Beach TSP

Jennifer

Please pass this email on to the following:

- 1) Members of the CB Planning Commission
- 2) Members of the CB City Council
- 3) Mr. St. Denis and Mr Jeff Adams

Thank you members of the Cannon beach Planning Commission for allowing an additional chance to discuss the City of Cannon Beach Transportation System Plan. This plan as put together by the Portland consultant "Parametrix " leaves a number of questions about what is contained within the plan itself. It seems that the people at Parametrix have never been in Cannon Beach City limits to see the land area and land restrictions that make up the City of Cannon Beach.

Please advise Parametrix that the City of Cannon Beach has a very narrow strip of land running north and south along the Pacific Ocean beach roughly from Tillamook Head to the Cannon Beach look-out area at its south end. This strip is very

narrow from east to west with a large hill in the middle called Haystack Heights. Based upon this description the TSP has a number of good suggestions, but also a larger number of poor suggestions which I wish to discuss.

First, the good possibilities :

1. There should be a one way traffic system in the "downtown " area of Hemlock and Spruce. This should include limited time parking, additional cross - walks for pedestrians, stop signs (not traffic lights) and a system of having a limited "plaza area" on 2nd street between Hemlock and Spruce
2. There can be non- motorized bikes within the downtown area in general
3. Pedestrian street crossings should not have Light Bullards or inground lights (remember that CB is a light reductions area)
4. Beach walking and biking should be encouraged as the best trail system available, not just along the city of Cannon beach, but extending north and south of the City
5. Vehicle parking and a plan for parking should be examined, with limited time parking in areas agreed by the City management, with residents given ' pass permits ' for parking within the city limits. There should be limits of "pass permits " for 2nd Home owners, with 2nd home owners required to use their driveways for multiple vehicle parking.
6. The City should place sign boards at the 4 entries to CB, which can be turned on when the City has minimal parking spaces left. (These sign boards should be put in place from May through October each year, with adjustments by the City Council as needed on a annual basis

Now, for the things we do not need in the City of Cannon Beach. The draft plan has many suggestions that do not have a valid need as expressed within the the plan , such as the following:

- A. The existing trail from the lagoons to east Monroe street should be left "as is " without paving and without widening. Motorized bikes should not be allowed, Bark chips should be placed to cover over the gravel area of the path.
- B. Proposed Trails along Highway 101 are dangerous to users of the path. The Highway generates a lot of noise. The highway also has Chip Trucks, Log Trucks, Freight Trucks, speeding cars, Elk, and other issues that become a danger to those who would use the paths. In addition, the loss of trees and vegetation along the path present more noise for the residents along Highway 101.
- C. We do not need "Round-about" on City streets. They take up a lot of room on already existing busy streets. They would require removal of structures to accomplish installation. Round-about are mostly of value in rural areas to speed up motor traffic.
- D. We do not need another exit on Highway 101 at Haystack Hill to provide street access to Hemlock by spending a whole lot of money to go over Haystack Hill and probably remove some homes that exist already on the Hill its self. In addition, I doubt that the State Highway Department would approve such a highway exit in any event.
- E. The "S Curves" of Hemlock are already dangerous. Bike lanes and trails along the "Haystack Heights S Curve" should be restricted

Lastly, The so called examples as set forth in the TSP photos by Parametrix all show samples of installations in areas that do not have the existing limitations that beset the City of Cannon Beach, as thus the photos, while nice, have no real value.

Thank you for considering my positions on the TSP. Lets use plans that make sense for our community and not be demanded (nor suggested) by Parametrix and their engineers , nor be those staff members of the City of Cannon Beach who believe that towns in Idaho and in Florida should be followed by the residents of Cannon Beach.

Sincerely

Wade Coykendall
163 West Jackson Street